

Abstract #**Title:**

| Rating Criteria | Absent 0 | Fair 1 | Good 2 | Excellent 3 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear, concise, justified statement of purpose and aims • Rationale given to support that the study addresses an important problem (significance) | | | | |
| Method(s) – This section must be in sufficient detail to provide evidence of a <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Logical consistency between the study’s purpose(s) and methods • Clear description of project or study methods used, including sample, measures, interventions | | | | |
| Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clearly stated in relationship to stated purpose and aims | | | | |
| Discussion/Conclusion /Implications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accurate interpretation of results • Applications to evidence based practice are clearly stated • Recommendations for practice, education, research and/or policy (if appropriate) are discussed | | | | |
| Overall quality: Evaluate for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity and flow • Consistency among all 4 sections of the abstract | | | | |
| Overall Impression: Consider overall scientific merit of the abstract and your determination of the importance of this abstract being presented at the conference | | | | |
| Relevance to Symposium | | | | |
| Total Score | | | | |